

Designing Samples to Satisfy Many Variance Constraints

Donna Glassbrenner
United States Department of Transportation
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
Washington, DC 20590

Abstract: Chromy's algorithm is frequently used to design stratified simple random samples to meet several variance constraints on a Horvitz Thompson estimator. We present a new geometrically-based algorithm for "nested" constraints. This algorithm produces a list of points that we prove contains the optimal solution.

Key words: sample design, stratified samples, reliability constraints, convex programming

0. Introduction

Complex surveys often have complex requirements. For instance, the Census Bureau's annual and monthly surveys of the retail, wholesale, and service trade areas need to produce reliable estimates in a large number of individual and aggregated kinds of business.

Designing samples for such surveys is a nontrivial constrained optimization problem, and iterative methods based on the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions are believed to determine a solution. For instance, Chromy's algorithm is frequently used to determine sample sizes in a stratified design that meet multiple coefficient of variation (cv) constraints. [B],[C]

However, the constraints often have some additional structure. For example, those for the above Census Bureau surveys have a "nested" structure, in that certain "detail" kinds of business are constrained, and then various aggregations of these detail are constrained. One might expect to be able to determine a solution more easily in this simpler scenario, and prove that it works.

Members of Census Bureau developed an alternative algorithm that is easier to program and appears to produce an approximately optimal solution. [K1],[K2] The Census Bureau has used their algorithm to design samples for various economic surveys, including the Monthly Retail Trade Survey.

In this paper, we present a new procedure, inspired by the Census Bureau algorithm, and prove that it solves the problem. That is, we present and prove an algorithm that finds optimal sample sizes meeting "nested" univariate cv constraints of a Horvitz-Thompson estimator under stratified simple random sampling. Our algorithm takes a geometric approach to the problem, instead of the analytic one taken by Chromy and Bethel. We produce a list of points related to the faces of the constraint region, among which is the optimal point.

1. The Problem and its Transformation

Example 1

Suppose, for example, that you have a frame with four strata and a variable of interest Y . Suppose that the stratum sizes are $N_1:=10$, $N_2:=20$, $N_3:=30$, and $N_4:=10$, the totals of Y in the strata are $Y_1:=30$, $Y_2:=90$, $Y_3:=90$, and $Y_4:=50$, and the standard deviations of Y in the strata are $\sigma_1:=1$, $\sigma_2:=2$, $\sigma_3:=1$, $\sigma_4:=2$. That is, stratum 1 consists of 10 frame units. The total of Y over these 10 units is 30, and the standard deviation of Y among these 10 units is 1. Suppose that (because e.g. you are designing a sample to estimate totals of a variable that you expect to be strongly correlated with Y) you wish to design a stratified simple random sample to produce the following estimates with the following degrees of accuracy

Quantity to estimate	Upper bound on the coefficient of variation (cv)
$Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + Y_4$	0.5%
$Y_1 + Y_2$	1%
$Y_3 + Y_4$	1%

You plan to use a Horvitz Thompson estimator (e.g. you will use the sample weight $10/x_1$ in stratum 1, if you choose x_1 members from stratum 1), and want to choose at least two members from each stratum. (You can think of these bounds as arising from variance constraints on the four stratum estimates.) Your goal is to determine the stratum sample sizes x_1, x_2, x_3 , and x_4 that meet these constraints with $x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4$ minimal. The corresponding variance constraints can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} 100/x_1 + 1600/x_2 + 900/x_3 + 400/x_4 &\leq 161.69 \\ 100/x_1 + 1600/x_2 &\leq 91.44 \\ 900/x_3 + 400/x_4 &\leq 71.96 \end{aligned}$$

and you have the additional constraints $2 \leq x_1 \leq 10$, $2 \leq x_2 \leq 20$, $2 \leq x_3 \leq 30$, and $2 \leq x_4 \leq 10$. You wish to determine the sample sizes x_1, x_2, x_3 , and x_4 that satisfy these constraints with $x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4$ minimal. We will return to this example to illustrate our result.

In general, suppose you have N strata and variance constraints var_A on a variable of interest Y on various collections A of strata, and you want to determine the sample sizes for which the Horvitz Thompson estimator of Y under stratified simple random sampling satisfies the variance constraints with minimal total sample size. Setting

$$\begin{aligned} N_i &:= \text{stratum size for stratum } i, \text{ for } i=1, \dots, N \\ \sigma_i &:= \text{standard deviation of } Y \text{ in stratum } i, \text{ for } i=1, \dots, N \\ a_i &:= (N_i \sigma_i)^2 \text{ for } i=1, \dots, N \\ C &:= \text{the collections of strata on which you're specifying reliability constraints} \\ c_A &:= \text{var}_A + \sum_{i \in A} N_i \sigma_i^2 \text{ for } A \in C \\ S(N) &:= \{A \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\} : |A| > 1\} \end{aligned}$$

the problem of determining the stratum sample sizes can be stated as follows.

The Problem

Let N be a positive integer, and let $a_1, \dots, a_N, c_1, \dots, c_N$, and C_1, \dots, C_N be positive real numbers. Let $C \subseteq S(N)$, and let c_A , for $A \in C$, be positive real numbers. Minimize $x_1 + \dots + x_N$ subject to

$$\sum_{i \in A} a_i / x_i \leq c_A \text{ for all } A \in C, \text{ and } c_i \leq x_i \leq C_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

For instance, in Example 1, $C = \{\{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, \{1,2,3,4\}\}$, $c_{\{1,2,3,4\}} = 161.69$, $c_{\{1,2\}} = 91.44$, $c_{\{3,4\}} = 71.96$, and $S(N)$ consists of the 11 subsets of $\{1,2,3,4\}$ that contain at least two members.

Here, we are determining real-valued sample sizes. Rounding the real-valued sample sizes up produces integer-valued sample sizes that satisfy the variance constraints and approximately minimize the total sample size. We do not address the problem of which stratum sample sizes to round up and which to round down for optimality.

Example 1 is a small instance of this problem. The sample design for the Monthly Retail Trade Survey uses approximately $N=800$ strata and approximately $|C|=100$ reliability constraints. Applying the transformation $x_i \rightarrow a_i/x_i$ for $i=1, \dots, N$, we obtain an equivalent problem.

The Transformed Problem

Let N be a positive integer, and let $a_1, \dots, a_N, c_1, \dots, c_N$, and C_1, \dots, C_N be positive real numbers. Let $C \subseteq S(N)$, and let c_A , for $A \in C$, be positive real numbers. Minimize $a_1/x_1 + \dots + a_N/x_N$ subject to

$$\sum_{i \in A} x_i \leq c_A \text{ for all } A \in C, \text{ and } c_i \leq x_i \leq C_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

That is, a point $p=(p_1, \dots, p_N)$ satisfies the Problem if and only if the point $q=(q_1, \dots, q_N)$ defined by $q_i := a_i/p_i$ for $i=1, \dots, N$, satisfies the Transformed Problem with variable constraints $a_i/C_i \leq x_i \leq a_i/c_i$ for all $i=1, \dots, N$.

In Example 1, the Transformed Problem is to minimize $100/x_1 + 1600/x_2 + 900/x_3 + 400/x_4$ subject to $x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4 \leq 161.69$, $x_1+x_2 \leq 91.44$, $x_3+x_4 \leq 71.96$, $10 \leq x_1 \leq 50$, $80 \leq x_2 \leq 800$, $30 \leq x_3 \leq 450$, and $40 \leq x_4 \leq 200$.

Note that the Transformed Problem has a solution when the constraint region is nonempty, since the objective function is continuous and the constraint region is compact. Furthermore, the Transformed Problem has a unique solution p , since the objective function is strictly convex and the constraint region is convex. Moreover the solution p is on the boundary of the constraint region, so it satisfies a subcollection of the constraints with equality. These statements hold for any collection of mutually satisfiable constraints C . Our main result (Theorem 1) describes the solution to the Transformed Problem in more detail for *nested* collections C .

Defn A collection C of sets is *nested* if C can be partitioned into subcollections $C(0), \dots, C(K)$ for some $K \geq 0$ such that the members of each $C(k)$ are pairwise disjoint, and for $k < K$, each member of $C(k+1)$ is the union of two or more members of $C(k)$. The members of $C(k)$ are called the *level k sets*, and we write $\text{Lev}(A):=k$ for all $A \in C(k)$.

For instance the collection $\{\{1,2\}, \{3\}, \{1,2,3\}\}$ is nested with $C(0) = \{\{1,2\}, \{3\}\}$ and $C(1) = \{\{1,2,3\}\}$. We shall see in Section 3 that the decomposition of C into $C(0), \dots, C(K)$ is unique and so members of C can't be construed to have different levels.

Our solution of the Transformed Problem narrows down the search for a minimum to a relatively easily computed list of points that contains the minimum. We present our solution in Section 2. Section 3 contains preliminary results, and Section 4 contains the proof.

Notation

Throughout this paper, N denotes a positive integer, and $a_1, \dots, a_N, c_1, \dots, c_N$, and C_1, \dots, C_N are positive real numbers. Also $S(N) := \{A \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\} : |A| > 1\}$, and $C \subseteq S(N)$ is nested, and is partitioned into subcollections $C(0), \dots, C(K)$ as in the definition of a nested collection. Also c_A , for $A \in C$, are positive real numbers. We define $V := \{\{1\}, \dots, \{N\}\}$, the singleton sets, and for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, $c_{\{i\}} \in \{c_i, C_i\}$. We define the *constraint region defined by C* to be

$$\text{CR}(C) := \left\{ \sum_{i \in A} x_i \leq c_A : A \in C \right\} \cap \left\{ c_i \leq x_i \leq C_i : 1 \leq i \leq N \right\}$$

For any subcollection D of $S(N) \cup V$, we define the *equality constraint region defined by D* to be

$$EQ(D) := \left\{ \sum_{i \in A} x_i = c_A : A \in D \right\}$$

so $CR(C)$ and $EQ(D)$ are subsets of R^N . Note that $CR(C)$ and $EQ(D)$ depend on the c_A and that $EQ(D)$ can involve the $c_{\{i\}}$ for singletons $\{i\}$.

2. Statement of the Algorithm

Defn A collection $D \subseteq S(N) \cup V$ is *complete* if for all $1 \leq k \leq N$, there exists $A \in D$ such that $k \in A$.

Defn Let D be a subcollection of $C \cup V$, and let $A \in D$. Define $Max(A, D) := \{B \in D : B \text{ is a maximal proper subset of } A\}$.

Here we mean that B is maximal with respect to containment. We call the members of $Max(A, D)$ the *maximal subsets of A (in D)*. E.g. for $N=4$ and $D := \{\{1,2\}, \{3\}, \{1,2,3,4\}\}$, $Max(\{1,2,3,4\}, D) = \{\{1,2\}, \{3\}\}$. For $D := C \cup V$, $Max(A, D)$ consists of the level $Lev(A)-1$ sets in the decomposition of A into level $Lev(A)-1$ sets. We shall see for a complete subcollection D of $C \cup V$, the nonempty sets $A \setminus \cup Max(A, D)$, for $A \in D$, partition $\{1, \dots, N\}$. This needn't be true when C isn't nested, e.g. for $C=D=\{\{2\}, \{3\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}\}$.

Example: For $N=4$ and $D := \{\{1,2\}, \{3\}, \{1,2,3,4\}\}$, $\{1,2\} \setminus \cup Max(\{1,2\}, D) = \{1,2\}$, $\{3\} \setminus \cup Max(\{3\}, D) = \{3\}$, and $\{1,2,3,4\} \setminus \cup Max(\{1,2,3,4\}, D) = \{4\}$.

Defn Let D be a subcollection of $C \cup V$. We define D to be *union-free* if there do not exist n and $A, A_1, \dots, A_n \in D$ with $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$.

For example, $\{\{1\}, \{1,2,3\}\}$ is union-free, while $\{\{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,2,3\}\}$ is not. Note that every complete collection D has a union-free complete subcollection E . (You can create E by iteratively omitting sets A from D that are unions of other sets in D .) We now state the main theorem, which presents the algorithm for solving the Transformed Problem for nested constraints. The algorithm presents a list containing the minimum.

Theorem 1 Let $C \subseteq \{A \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\} : |A| > 1\}$ be nested, and suppose

$$CR(C) := \left\{ \sum_{i \in A} x_i \leq c_A : A \in C \right\} \cap \left\{ c_i \leq x_i \leq C_i : 1 \leq i \leq N \right\}$$

is nonempty. Let $p = (p_1, \dots, p_N) \in R^N$ be the unique minimum of $\sum_{i=1}^N a_i / x_i$ on $CR(C)$. Then there are a union-free complete subcollection $D \subseteq C \cup V$ and constants $c_{\{i\}} \in \{c_i, C_i\}$ for all singletons $\{i\} \in D$, such that for all $A \in D$ and for each $i \in A \setminus \cup Max(A, D)$,

$$p_i = \frac{c_A - \sum_{B \in Max(A, D)} c_B}{\sum_{j \in A \setminus \cup Max(A, D)} \sqrt{a_j}} \sqrt{a_i}$$

As we will see this means that the constrained minimum is obtained by hierarchically satisfying a complete subcollection of the constraints with equality.

Example: The minimum of $1/x_1 + 1/x_2$ subject to $1/2 \leq x_1 \leq 1$, $1 \leq x_2 \leq 4$ and $x_1 + x_2 \leq 4$ occurs at $(1, 3)$. Here $D = \{\{1\}, \{1,2\}\}$.

Example: The minimum of $1/x_1 + 1/x_2 + 1/x_3$ subject to $x_3 \leq 5$, $x_1 + x_2 \leq 2$, and $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 6$ occurs at

(1,1,4). Here $D = \{\{1,2\}, \{1,2,3\}\}$.

Theorem 1 solves the Transformed Problem. The (original) Problem is solved by the point $q_i := a_i / p_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq N$. We note that since the bounds on redundant constraints can be made unachievable without changing the polytope, we may assume that the D in Theorem 1 consists of irredundant constraints.

Example 1: Five of these constraints are redundant. There are 27 union-free complete subcollections D of irredundant constraints, associated to each of which is a candidate solution pD from the conclusion of Theorem 1. For example, $D=\{\{1\},\{4\},\{1,2\},\{1,2,3,4\}\}$ is a complete union-free subcollection with associated point $pD=(10, 81.44, 30.25, 40)$. This point is feasible, i.e. lies in the constraint region, and has objective function value 69.3984. Among these 27 points, the feasible point with minimal objective function is the point $(10.4225, 80, 31.2675, 40)$, with function value 68.3785. This point arises from the subcollection $\{\{2\},\{4\},\{1,2,3,4\}\}$. Corresponding to this solution is the solution to the (original) Problem $(9.5946, 20, 28.7839, 10)$. We would take stratum sample sizes $(10, 20, 29, 10)$ (or play around with different roundings).

We note how Theorem 1 could be implemented and contrast it to Chromy's algorithm. To implement our algorithm, we could write a computer program to remove as many redundant constraints as possible, compute all complete union-free subcollections of irredundant constraints and their corresponding points, and determine the feasible point among these with lowest objective function value. (The algorithm will produce a feasible point if the constraint region is nonempty.) In contrast, Chromy's algorithm takes with a user-specified level of tolerance ϵ and either doesn't converge or iterates eventually toward a solution within ϵ . It won't in general produce the exact solution, might not converge, and might take longer or shorter to produce a point within ϵ tolerance depending on the size of ϵ and the choice of initial point.

3. Preliminaries

Prop 1 Let $0 \leq r < s \leq K$ and let $A \in C(s)$. Then there exists a positive integer t and unique $A_1, \dots, A_t \in C(r)$ such that $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_t$.

Proof Existence is obvious. Suppose $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_t = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_u$ for some $B_1, \dots, B_u \in C(k)$. Since distinct level r sets are pairwise disjoint, for all $1 \leq i \leq t$, there exists $1 \leq j \leq u$ such that $A_i = B_j$. \square

Cor $C(0), \dots, C(K)$ are pairwise disjoint.

Cor If $A, B \in C$ and $B \subseteq A$, then $\text{Lev}(B) \leq \text{Lev}(A)$, and B is one of the sets in the decomposition of A into level $\text{Lev}(B)$ sets.

Proof Suppose $\text{Lev}(B) > \text{Lev}(A)$. Decomposing B into distinct level $\text{Lev}(A)$ sets B_1, \dots, B_t , since $B \subseteq A$, we see that $t=1$ and $A=B_1=B$. Contradiction. Now decompose A into distinct level $\text{Lev}(B)$ sets A_1, \dots, A_t . Since $B \subseteq A$, we see that $t=1$ and $B=A_1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t$. \square

Prop 2 $C(0) = \{A \in C : \text{Max}(A, C) = \emptyset\}$ and for $0 < k \leq K$, $C(k) = \{A \in C \setminus (C(0) \cup \dots \cup C(k-1)) : \text{Max}(A, C \setminus (C(0) \cup \dots \cup C(k-1))) = \emptyset\}$.

Proof Let $D(k) := \{A \in C \setminus (C(0) \cup \dots \cup C(k-1)) : \text{Max}(A, C \setminus (C(0) \cup \dots \cup C(k-1))) = \emptyset\}$ for $0 \leq k \leq K$. We are to show $C(k) = D(k)$ for all k . Note that $C(k) \subseteq D(k)$ follows from the corollaries. Fix $0 \leq k \leq K$.

Let $A \in D(k)$. Suppose $A \in C(j)$ for some $j > k$. Decomposing A into distinct level k sets A_1, \dots, A_t , since

$\text{Max}(A, C \setminus (C(0) \cup \dots \cup C(k-1))) = \emptyset$, we see that $t=1$ and $A=A_1 \in C(k)$. \square

Defn A collection of sets D is *weakly nested* if for all $A, B \in D$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $B \subseteq A$, or $A \subseteq B$.

That is, every pair of members of D is disjoint or satisfies a containment relation. Every subcollection of a nested collection is weakly nested. In particular, the members of $\text{Max}(A, D)$ for A in a complete subcollection D of C are pairwise disjoint. However, for example, $\{\{1\}, \{1, 2\}\}$ is weakly nested but not nested. Note that in the next proposition, some of the members $A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D)$ of this partition might be empty, e.g. for $D = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$.

Prop 3 Let D be a complete subcollection of $C \cup V$. Then the sets $A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D)$ for $A \in D$ partition $\{1, \dots, N\}$.

Proof Let $1 \leq i \leq N$. Let $A \in D$ be minimal with respect to containment such that A contains i . Then $i \in A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D)$. Suppose $i \in T \setminus \cup \text{Max}(T, D)$ for some $T \in D$. Then A and T meet, but we can't have $A \subset T$ or $T \subset A$, so $A = T$. \square

We next describe in detail the solution to one equality constraint.

Prop 4 Let c be a nonzero real number. The unique minimum of $f(x_1, \dots, x_N) := \sum_{i=1}^N a_i / x_i$ subject to

$\sum_{i=1}^N x_i = c$ and $x_1 > 0, \dots, x_N > 0$ occurs at the point $p := \frac{c}{\sqrt{a_1} + \dots + \sqrt{a_N}} (\sqrt{a_1}, \dots, \sqrt{a_N})$. This is the point of the

first octant that satisfies the equations $\frac{a_1}{x_1^2} = \dots = \frac{a_N}{x_N^2}$ and $\sum x_i = c$. Furthermore $f(p) = \frac{(\sqrt{a_1} + \dots + \sqrt{a_N})^2}{c}$.

Proof Straightforward. \square

For the next proposition, we adopt the standard convention that the empty sum is zero.

Prop 5 Let D be a complete subcollection of $C \cup V$, and let $c_{\{i\}} \in \{c_i, C_i\}$ for all singletons $\{i\} \in D$. Then

$$\text{EQ}(D) = \left\{ \sum_{i \in A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D)} x_i = c_A - \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D)} c_B : A \in D \right\}.$$

In particular, $\text{EQ}(D) = \emptyset$ if and only if there exists $A \in D$ with $A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D) = \emptyset$ and $c_A \neq \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D)} c_B$.

That is, equality constraints on a complete subcollection can be rewritten as equality constraints in disjoint variables. E.g. $\{x_1 = c_1, x_2 = C_2, x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = c_{\{1, 2, 3\}}\} = \{x_1 = c_1, x_2 = C_2, x_3 = c_{\{1, 2, 3\}} - c_1 - C_2\}$. Note that we need to include the empty sums in Proposition 5. For example, let $N := 2$, $C := \{\{1, 2\}\}$, and $D := C \cup V$. If $c_{\{1, 2\}} = c_{\{1\}} + c_{\{2\}}$ then the set in Proposition 5 consists of the point $(c_{\{1\}}, c_{\{2\}})$, while if $c_{\{1, 2\}} \neq c_{\{1\}} + c_{\{2\}}$, then the set in Proposition 5 is the empty set. The proposition would have failed if we had excluded the empty sum in the latter case.

Proof We first note that for all $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $A \in D$, $\left\{ \sum_{i \in A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D)} q_i = \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D)} \sum_{i \in B} q_i \right\}$.

since the members of $\text{Max}(A, D)$ are pairwise disjoint. Let $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and let $A \in D$. Suppose $\sum_{i \in T} q_i = c_T$ for all $T \in D$. Then $\sum_{i \in A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D)} q_i = \sum_{i \in A} q_i - \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D)} \sum_{i \in B} q_i = c_A - \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D)} c_B$.

Suppose, on the other hand, $\sum_{i \in T \setminus \cup \text{Max}(T, D)} q_i = c_T - \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(T, D)} c_B$ for all $T \in D$. Then

$\sum_{i \in A} q_i = \sum_{i \in A \setminus \text{Max}(A, D)} q_i + \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D)} \sum_{i \in B} q_i$. By induction on $\text{Lev}(A)$, $\sum_{i \in B} q_i = c_B$ for all $B \in \text{Max}(A, D)$. So $\sum_{i \in A} q_i = c_A - \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D)} c_B + \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D)} c_B = c_A$. \square

Notation: For a complete subcollection D of $C \cup V$ and constants $c_{\{i\}} \in \{c_i, C_i\}$ for all singletons $\{i\} \in D$, let pD denote the point defined by D in Theorem 1. That is

$$pD_i = \frac{c_A - \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D)} c_B}{\sum_{j \in A \setminus \text{Max}(A, D)} \sqrt{a_j}} \sqrt{a_i} \quad \text{for all } i \in A \setminus \text{Max}(A, D) \text{ with } A \in D.$$

Note that some coordinates of pD might be zero or negative.

Cor Let D be a complete subcollection D of $C \cup V$ such that $\text{EQ}(D) \neq \emptyset$, and let $c_{\{i\}} \in \{c_i, C_i\}$ for all singletons $\{i\} \in D$. Suppose that all coordinates of pD are nonzero. Then pD minimizes $\sum a_i/x_i$ on $\text{EQ}(D)$.

So pD is on the boundary of $\text{CR}(C)$ and, if its coordinates are nonzero, is determined by hierarchically solving a subset of the constraints with equality and minimizing the objective function on each of the corresponding equality constraints in disjoint variables.

Proof By Proposition 5, $\left\{ \sum_{i \in A} x_i = c_A : A \in D \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{i \in A \setminus \text{Max}(A, D)} x_i = c_A - \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D)} c_B : A \in D \right\}$

Since the latter constraints are in disjoint variables, the result follows from Proposition 4. \square

Defn Let D be a subcollection of $C \cup V$. We define the *core* of D to be the set $\text{Core}(D) := \{A \in D : A \neq \cup \text{Max}(A, D)\}$.

$\text{Core}(D)$ is the union-free subcollection we get by throwing out unions. For $D := \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$, $\text{Core}(D) = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$, while $\{\{1, 2\}\}$ is another union-free subcollection. If D is complete, so is its core, and D and $\text{Core}(D)$ contain the same singletons. $\text{Core}(D)$ defines the same equality constraints as D , while an arbitrary union-free subcollection needn't.

Prop 6 Let D be a complete subcollection of $C \cup V$ such that $\text{EQ}(D) \neq \emptyset$, and let $c_{\{i\}} \in \{c_i, C_i\}$ for all singletons $\{i\} \in D$. Then $\text{EQ}(D) = \text{EQ}(\text{Core}(D))$.

That is, nontrivial equality constraints on a complete subcollection can be rewritten as equality constraints on their union-free cores. For example, $D := \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$ and $E := \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$ define the same equality constraints if those defined by D have a solution (but they don't if those defined by D have no solution).

Proof For $k \geq 0$, define $CD(k) := \text{Core}(D) \cup \{A \in D : \text{Lev}(A) \leq k\}$. We prove by induction on k that $\text{EQ}(\text{Core}(D)) = \text{EQ}(CD(k))$. For $k=0$, $CD(0) = \text{Core}(D)$. Suppose the claim is true for some $k \geq 0$. Let $A \in CD(k+1) \setminus CD(k)$, and let $p \in \text{EQ}(\text{Core}(D))$. Then $A = \cup \text{Max}(A, D)$, and, since $\text{EQ}(D) \neq \emptyset$, $c_A = \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D)} c_B$. By induction, $p \in \text{EQ}(E(k))$, so $\sum_{i \in B} p_i = c_B$ for all $B \in \text{Max}(A, D)$, so

$$\sum_{i \in A} p_i = \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D)} c_B = c_A. \quad \square$$

Cor Let D be a complete subcollection of $C \cup V$ such that $\text{EQ}(D) \neq \emptyset$, let $c_{\{i\}} \in \{c_i, C_i\}$ for all singletons $\{i\} \in D$, and let $E := \text{Core}(D)$. Then $pD = pE$.

Proof By Proposition 6, $\text{EQ}(D) = \text{EQ}(\text{Core}(D))$. By the Corollary to Proposition 5, pD is the minimum of f on

$\text{EQ}(D)$ and p_E is the minimum of f on $\text{EQ}(\text{Core}(D))$, so we are done since the constrained minima are unique. \square

Next, we specify an algorithm to generate all complete union-free subcollections D of $C \cup V$. To generate those with, say k singletons, start with a collection D of k singletons. If D is not complete (i.e. if $k < N$), add to D a nonsingleton member A of C that contains an integer in $\{1, \dots, N\} \setminus \cup D$, i.e. that contains some “new” integer. If the resulting collection D is not complete, add to D a member B of C that contains a member of the new $\{1, \dots, N\} \setminus \cup D$. Continue until the resulting collection D is complete.

Such a collection D is union-free since each set we added to D contains a new integer. Now fix an arbitrary union-free complete subcollection D , with, say, k singletons and n nonsingleton sets. Let A_1, \dots, A_n be its nonsingleton sets, listed in order of nondecreasing level. The D is the result of the algorithm that starts with the k singletons and adds the sets A_1, \dots, A_n in order. (Suppose that for some k , $A_{k+1} \subseteq S \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$, where S is the union of the singletons in D . Then by weak nesting and our ordering of the A_i ’s, each of A_1, \dots, A_k that meets A_{k+1} is contained in A_{k+1} . So A_{k+1} is the union of the singletons from $S \cap A_{k+1}$ and the A_1, \dots, A_k that meet A_{k+1} .) The next proposition improves on the earlier assertion that the constrained minimum is on the boundary of the constraint region.

Prop 7 Let p minimize $\sum a_i / x_i$ on $\text{CR}(C)$. There are a complete subcollection D of $C \cup V$ and constants $c_{\{i\}} := C_i$ for all singletons $\{i\} \in D$, such that $p \in \text{EQ}(D)$.

Proof Suppose not. Let $1 \leq i \leq N$ be such that $c_i \leq p_i < C_i$ and $\sum_{i \in A} p_i < c_A$ for all $A \in C$ such that A contains i . We may assume $i=1$. Since all coordinates of the gradient of f are negative at p , the directional derivative of f in the unit direction $u := (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is negative at p . Then $f(p+tu) < f(p)$ for all sufficiently small $t > 0$, and we may choose t small enough so that $p+tu \in \text{CR}(C)$. Contradiction. \square

Example For $f(x_1, x_2) := 1/x_1 + 1/x_2$ on $\text{CR}(C) := \{x_1 + x_2 \leq 2, 3/2 \leq x_1 \leq 2, 1/2 \leq x_2 \leq 2\} = \{(3/2, 1/2)\}$, $p = (3/2, 1/2) \in \text{EQ}(D)$ for $D = \{\{1, 2\}\}$. However, $p \neq p_D$ for this D , but rather for $D = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}, \{\{1\}, \{1, 2\}\}$, or $\{\{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$ with $c_{\{1\}} := 3/2$ and $c_{\{2\}} := 1/2$.

Prop 8 Let $J \subseteq V$, and $I := \cup J$. Let D be a complete subcollection of $C \cup V$. Suppose that the nonempty sets $A \setminus I$ for $A \in D$ are distinct. Let $F := \{A \setminus I : A \in D, A \setminus I \neq \emptyset\}$, and let $A \in D$ be such that $A \setminus I \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$(1) \{B \in \text{Max}(A, D) : B \setminus I \neq \emptyset\} = \{B \in D : B \setminus I \in \text{Max}(A \setminus I, F)\}.$$

Suppose also that $\{B \in D : B \setminus I = \emptyset\} = \{\{i\} : i \in I\}$. Then

$$(2) \{B \in \text{Max}(A, D) : B \setminus I = \emptyset\} = \{\{i\} : i \in (A \setminus I) \setminus \cup \{B \in \text{Max}(A, D) : B \setminus I \neq \emptyset\}\},$$

$$(3) A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D) = (A \setminus I) \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A \setminus I, F).$$

Proof Note that for all $T \in F$, there is a unique $B \in D$ such that $T = B \setminus I$. Note also that by weak nesting, for all $B \in D$ such that $B \setminus I \neq \emptyset$, $A \supseteq B$ if and only if $A \setminus I \supseteq B \setminus I$. (For the direction \Leftarrow , note that if $A \subseteq B$, then $A \setminus I = B \setminus I$ implies $A = B$ by hypothesis.) Part (1) now follows easily.

(2): Let $B \in \text{Max}(A, D)$ with $B \setminus I = \emptyset$. By hypothesis, $B = \{i\}$ for some $i \in A \setminus I$. Suppose $i \in T$ for some $T \in \text{Max}(A, D)$ with $T \setminus I \neq \emptyset$. Then $\{i\} \subseteq T$, so by maximality $\{i\} = T$, contradicting $T \setminus I \neq \emptyset$.

Now let $i \in (A \setminus I) \setminus \cup \{B \in \text{Max}(A, D) : B \setminus I \neq \emptyset\}$ and write $\{i\} \subseteq T \subseteq A$ for some $T \in \text{Max}(A, D)$. Then $T \setminus I = \emptyset$, so $|T|=1$, so $\{i\} = T \in \text{Max}(A, D)$.

(3): By (1) and (2),

$$\cup \text{Max}(A, D) = (\cup \{B \in \text{Max}(A, D) : B \setminus I \neq \emptyset\}) \cup ((A \setminus I) \setminus \cup \{B \in \text{Max}(A, D) : B \setminus I \neq \emptyset\})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\cup\{B \in \text{Max}(A, D) : B \setminus I \neq \emptyset\}) \cup (A \cap I) = (\cup\{B \in D : B \setminus I \in \text{Max}(A \setminus I, F)\}) \cup (A \cap I) \\
&= (\cup\{B \setminus I : B \in D, B \setminus I \in \text{Max}(A \setminus I, F)\}) \cup (A \cap I) = (\cup \text{Max}(A \setminus I, F)) \cup (A \cap I). \square
\end{aligned}$$

4. Proof of the Algorithm

We now prove the main theorem, which solves the Transformed (and the original) Problem for nested constraints. By the Corollary to Proposition 5, Theorem 1 says that the constrained minimum is determined by hierarchically solving a subset of the constraints with equality and minimizing the objective function on each of the corresponding equality constraints in disjoint variables.

Theorem 1 Let $C \subseteq \{A \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\} : |A| > 1\}$ be nested, and suppose

$$CR(C) := \left\{ \sum_{i \in A} x_i \leq c_A : A \in C \right\} \cap \left\{ c_i \leq x_i \leq C_i : 1 \leq i \leq N \right\}$$

is nonempty. Let $p = (p_1, \dots, p_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be the unique minimum of $f(x_1, \dots, x_N) := \sum_{i=1}^N a_i / x_i$ on $CR(C)$. Then there are a union-free complete subcollection $D \subseteq C \cup V$ and constants $c_{\{i\}} \in \{c_i, C_i\}$ for all singletons $\{i\} \in D$, such that for all $A \in D$ and for each $i \in A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D)$,

$$p_i = \frac{c_A - \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D)} c_B}{\sum_{j \in A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D)} \sqrt{a_j}} \sqrt{a_i}$$

Proof We prove this by induction on N . The case $N=1$ is obvious.

Suppose p satisfies some of the variable constraints with equality. Let $J := \{i : p_i \in \{c_i, C_i\}\}$, and let $I := \cup J$. Define $E := \{A \setminus I : A \in C, A \setminus I \neq \emptyset\}$,

$$d_B := \min \left\{ c_A - \sum_{i \in A \cap I} p_i : B = A \setminus I, A \in C \right\} \text{ for all } B \in E$$

and $q \in \mathbb{R}^{N-|I|}$ by $q_i := p_i$ for $i \notin I$. Then E is nested and q minimizes $\sum_{i \in I} a_i / x_i$ on the constraint region

$CR(E) := \left\{ \sum_{i \in B} x_i \leq d_B : B \in E \right\} \cap \left\{ c_i \leq x_i \leq C_i : i \notin I \right\}$. By induction, there is a complete subcollection F of $E \cup \{\{i\} : i \notin I\}$ and constants $d_{\{j\}} \in \{c_j, C_j\}$ for all $j \notin I$ such that for all $A \in F$, and for each $j \in A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, F)$,

$$p_j = \frac{d_A - \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, F)} d_B}{\sum_{k \in A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, F)} \sqrt{a_k}} \sqrt{a_j}$$

Let $D := \{A \in C : A \setminus I = c_A - \sum_{i \in A \cap I} p_i\} \cup \{\{i\} : i \in I\}$, and set $c_{\{i\}} := p_i \in \{c_i, C_i\}$ for $i \in I$. Throw out from D any sets A with duplicate $A \setminus I$ (which would require duplicate $c_A - \sum_{i \in A \cap I} p_i$) so that for each $B \in F$ there is a unique $A \in D$ such that $B = A \setminus I$. D is complete since F is. We claim that $p = pD$. Note that by our choice of $c_{\{i\}}$'s, $p_i = pD_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Fix $j \notin I$, and let $A \in D$ be such that $j \in A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D)$. Then $A \setminus I$ is nonempty and so by Proposition 8, $(A \setminus I) \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A \setminus I, F) = A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D)$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{A \setminus I} - \sum_{B \in D, B \setminus I \in \text{Max}(A \setminus I, F)} d_{B \setminus I} &= c_A - \sum_{i \in A \cap I} p_i - \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D), B \setminus I \neq F} (c_B - \sum_{i \in B \cap I} p_i) \\
&= c_A - \sum_{B \in \text{Max}(A, D), B \setminus I \neq F} c_B - \sum_{i \in (A \cap I) \setminus \cup \{B \in \text{Max}(A, D) : B \setminus I \neq F\}} p_i
\end{aligned}$$

By part (3) of Proposition 8, this is equal to $c_A - \sum_{\text{Max}(A, D)} c_B$, and so $p_j = pD_j$, as desired.

So we may assume that for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, $c_i < p_i < C_i$. Let D be a complete subcollection of C such that $p \in EQ(D)$ and suppose $p_i \neq p_{D_i}$ for some $i \in A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D)$ with $A \in D$. By Proposition 5, $|A \setminus \cup \text{Max}(A, D)| > 1$. By propositions 4 and 5, there exist $i, j \in A$ such that $a_i/p_i^2 > a_j/p_j^2$. The directional derivative of f in the direction v defined by

$$v_k := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = i \\ -1, & \text{if } k = j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is negative at p , so for all sufficiently small $t > 0$, $f(p+tv) < f(p)$, and so $p+tv$ is not in the constraint region. So $p_i = C_i$ or $p_j = c_j$. Contradiction. Finally, we may assume D is union-free by replacing it with its core and applying the corollary to Proposition 6. \square

Remark on the proof: From Proposition 7, we know that the constrained minimum p is in $EQ(D)$ for some complete subcollection D . If we could prove more easily that pD was in $CR(C)$, we could use an easier argument in the proof of Theorem 1. However, as we know from Example 1, many D produce unfeasible points pD .

Conjecture Suppose that for all complete union-free subcollections D of $C \cup V$ that contain no singletons, $pD_1 < c_1$. Let E be a complete subcollection for which pE is the constrained minimum in Theorem 1. Then E contains the singleton $\{1\}$.

References

- [B] Bethel, J. (1989), "Sample Allocation in Multivariate Surveys", *Survey Methodology*, **15**, pp. 45-57.
- [C] Chromy, J. (1987), "Design Optimization with Multiple Objectives", *Proceedings of the Survey Research Methods Section*, American Statistical Association.
- [K1] Kinyon, D., Glassbrenner, D., Black, J., and Detlefsen, R. (2000), "Designing Retail, Wholesale, and Services Samples used for Surveys Conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau", *Proceedings of the International Conference on Establishment Surveys -II*.
- [K2] Kinyon, D., Glassbrenner, D., Black, J., and Detlefsen, R. (2000), "Improving the Design of Samples Used for Business Surveys Conducted by the United States Bureau of the Census", *Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association*.